AD-A264 252

UNITED STATES ARMY

HEALTH CARE STUDIES AND

CLINICAL INVESTIGATION ACTIVITY



S DTIC ELECTE MAY 1 3 1993

SURVEY OF MOBILIZED RESERVE COMPONENTS ARMY MEDICAL PERSONNEL

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A. David Mangelsdorff, Ph.D., M.P.H. Patricia A. Twist

U.S. Army Health Care Studies and Clinical Investigation Activity
U.S. Army Health Services Command
Fort Sam Houston, Texas 78234-6060

COL Gerald R. Moses, Ph.D.

Senior Army Reserve Advisor U.S. Army Health Services Command Fort Sam Houston, Texas 78234

Health Care Studies and Clinical Investigation Activity
Consultation Report 91-008A

September 1991

Approved to public relegant
Dismounce Unimised

93 5 11 186

UNITED STATES ARMY

HEALTH SERVICES COMMAND FORT SAM HOUSTON, TEXAS 78234



93-10519

NOTICE

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Regular users of services of the Defense Technical Information Center (per DOD Instruction 5200.21) may purchase copies directly from the following:

Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) ATTN: DTIC-DDR Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22304-6145

Telephones: DSN 284-7633, 4 or 5

COMMERCIAL (703) 274-7633, 4, or 5

All other requests for these reports will be directed to the following:

U.S. Department of Commerce National Technical Information Services (NTIS) 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22161

Telephone: COMMERCIAL (703) 487-4650

ECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE					Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188
a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	16 RESTRICTIVE	16 RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS			
Unclassified					
.a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT			
.b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		Approved for public release;			
		distribution unlimited			
- PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)			
A. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION			
US Army Health Care Studies	& (if applicable)				
Clinical Investigation activity HSHN-T					
ac. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)			
Ft Sam Houston, TX 78234-6060					
3a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER			
3c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS			
		PROGRAM	PROJECT	TASK	WORK UNIT
		ELEMENT NO.	NO.	NO.	ACCESSION NO.
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) (U) Survey of Mobilized Reserve Components Army Medical Personnel EXECUTIVE SUMMARY					
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)					
A. David Mangelsdorff , G. R. Moses, COL, & Patricia A. Twist					
Final 13b. TIME COVERED 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 1993 September 2					
6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION					
17. COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)					
FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP Mobilization, reserves, training, personnel utilization					
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)					
			requested a	ecieta	nce in the
Headquarters, U.S. Army Health Services Command (HQ HSC) requested assistance in the development and scoring of a questionnaire to assess attitudes of reserve Army medical					
personnel mobilized to stations in continental United States during Operation Desert					
HSC mission.					
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION					
\times Unclassified \times \t					
A. David Mangelsdorft, Ph.D., 1	1. P. H.	(210) 221-0			FICE SYMBOL SHN-T
DD Form 1473, JUN 86 Previous editions are obsolete SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE					

SURVEY OF MOBILIZED RESERVE COMPONENTS ARMY MEDICAL PERSONNEL

Headquarters, U.S. Army Health Services Command (HQ HSC) requested assistance in the development and scoring of a questionnaire to assess attitudes of reserve Army medical personnel mobilized to stations in continental United States during Operation Desert Storm. During Operation Desert Shield/Storm 53 units were mobilized in support of the HSC mission.

Survey packets were sent from HQ HSC to installations where reserve units were demobilizing during April and May 1991. Of the 10,000 surveys sent, responses were received from 3,930 reservists. Reasons for the surveys not being sent back related to soldiers being unavailable either because they had been demobilized or had not returned from Southwest Asia.

The sample was predominantly from Troop Program Units (88.0%). In general, the reservists were pleased with their experiences, though there were significant concerns expressed about the lack of communication and information provided. The fragmentation of units was not adequately explained.

Lack of communication from both the parent unit and from the installation caused concern. When there was communication from the parent unit, there was more likely to be communication from the installation, and support from the parent unit. Apparently, reservists from units that provided the information were well prepared and felt they contributed to the mission.

The reservists were eager to serve their country. The soldier's participation was supported by the spouse. Since 59.4% of the sample were married, having family support is important. The support of the spouse was critical in soldiers planning to remain in the reserves until eligible to retire.

Soldiers who felt they were well utilized during mobilization were likely to report their contribution to the mission was significant and that they were given responsibilities commensurate with their rank and expertise. The reservists felt part of the active Army medical team at the receiving units.

The physicians, particularly the company grade officers, were generally least satisfied with the fragmentation of the units and the lack of communication and information. The field grade physicians reported suffering financially because of the mobilization. The company grade physicians were more likely to plan to leave the reserves.

CONCLUSIONS

Surveys were received from 3,930 reserve personnel, the majority from Troop Program Units (88.0%).

The mobilization and utilization experiences were generally positive.

Issues of concern related to communication and information.

Fragmentation of units was not well explained.

Retention of lower grade physicians and lower ranking enlisted medical service personnel may become problematic.

Support of families to reservists is critical toward their remaining in the reserves.

RECOMMENDATIONS

If reserve personnel train together in a unit, they need to be mobilized and utilized as a unit. Fragmentation of units is disruptive.

Personnel need more communication and information about what is happening and why.

Support programs for military families should be encouraged.

INTIS CRA&I
DNC TAB
Unannoused D
Justification

By
Distribution /
Availability Codes

Dist Avail and / or
Special

P-1

Difference and a second to